

8110 Gatehouse Rd.
Suite 100E
Falls Church Va 22040

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

Phone: (703) 205-8000
Fax: (703) 205-8050
Web: www.bskb.com
e-mail: mailroom@bskb.com

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Acknowledgement

JAN 14 2004

OFFICIAL

To: Fax Number: 7033087721
Company: Date: 1/14/2004 Time: 10:37:01 AM

From: **FaxServer 703-205-8050** Fax Number: 703-205-8050
Company: BSKB LLP Intellectual Property Law No. of pages: 2
(including cover page)

Subject: Automatic Facsimile Acknowledgement

RECEIPT IS ACKNOWLEDGED - This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by our office. Please be informed the second page of this facsimile is the first page of the facsimile we are acknowledging. If there is an error please contact us immediately via facsimile or e-mail at mailroom@bskb.com.

**PROTECTING
THE PRODUCT
YOUR^{OF} MINDTM**

<p><i>To: Cathy Voisnet</i> Advisory Action</p>	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 09/837,174</td> <td style="width:50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) YASUDA, YOSHIKI</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner Seung C. Sohn</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 2878</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 09/837,174	Applicant(s) YASUDA, YOSHIKI	Examiner Seung C. Sohn	Art Unit 2878
Application No. 09/837,174	Applicant(s) YASUDA, YOSHIKI				
Examiner Seung C. Sohn	Art Unit 2878				

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
 Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.

2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:

(a) ☒ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: Amended claim 1 require further consideration and/or search.

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument is not persuasive.

6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____
 Claim(s) objected to: _____
 Claim(s) rejected: 1-12
 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

8. ☒ The drawing correction filed on 18 December 2003 is a) ☐ approved or b) ☒ disapproved by the Examiner.

9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

10. ☐ Other: _____